Elena Saxonhouse, Senior Attorney Joanne Spalding, Chief Climate Counsel Sierra Club-Environmental Law Program 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300 Oakland, CA 94612

May 9, 2017

Dear Ms. Saxonhouse and Ms. Spalding:

Your allegation of a violation of the Scientific Integrity Policy by Administrator Scott Pruitt has been referred to me by the Office of Inspector General¹.

I shared your allegation with the EPA Scientific Integrity Committee, made up of senior leaders representing the regions, offices, and programs of EPA. From this Committee, a Scientific Integrity Review Panel was convened to review the circumstances surrounding Administrator Pruitt's comments and to compare those to the EPA Scientific Integrity Policy. This is consistent with our Coordination Procedures between the Scientific Integrity Official and the Office of Inspector General².

Our review was focused on the following text included in the EPA Scientific Integrity Policy³:

When an Agency employee substantively engaged in the science informing an Agency policy decision disagrees with the scientific data, scientific interpretations, or scientific conclusions that will be relied upon for said Agency decision, the employee is encouraged to express that opinion...

The Scientific Integrity Policy applies to <u>all EPA</u> employees, contractors, grantees, collaborators, and student volunteers, including political appointees. The freedom to express one's scientific opinion is fundamental to EPA's Scientific Integrity Policy, even (and especially) when that point of view might be controversial.

The Scientific Integrity Policy explicitly protects differing opinions. This protection is afforded to any employee "substantively engaged in the science," including the Administrator when he or she speaks on matters of science "informing an Agency policy decision." The protection is forward-looking and is designed to encourage the employee to express his or her opinion if he or she "disagrees with the scientific data, scientific interpretations, or scientific conclusions that will be relied upon for said Agency decision."

In this case, the Administrator was asked a science-related question during a television interview, "Do you believe that it's been proven that carbon dioxide is the primary control knob for climate?" The Administrator responded, "No. I think that measuring with precision human activity on the climate is something very challenging to do, and there's tremendous disagreement about the degree of impact. So no, I would not agree that it's a primary contributor to the global warming that we see. But we don't know that yet . . . We need to continue the debate and continue the review and the analysis."

¹ https://www.docdroid.net/HvDdJZs/sierra-club-scientific-integrity-complaint-3-14-17.pdf.html (last visited 4/4/17)

² https://intranet.ord.epa.gov/sites/default/files/media/oig-scio_coordination_procedures_final.pdf (last visited 4/4/17)

³ https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-02/documents/scientific integrity policy 2012.pdf (last visited 4/4/17)

In his response, the Administrator expressed his opinion regarding contributors to global warming and called for more debate, review, and analysis as a precursor to any future EPA policy decision on the matter. This expression of opinion, which was not made in a decisional context, is fully within the protections of EPA's Scientific Integrity Policy and does not violate the Policy. We also note that, in his remarks, the Administrator did not suppress or alter Agency scientific findings.

Expressing an opinion about science is not a violation of the EPA Scientific Integrity Policy. Indeed, the Scientific Integrity Policy – in the spirit of promoting vigorous debate and inquiry – specifically encourages employees to express disagreement with scientific data, scientific interpretations, or scientific conclusions.

Thank you for your interest in this matter. The Inspector General and I, as the EPA's Scientific Integrity Official remain, committed to ensuring scientific integrity at the Agency.

Sincerely,

Francesca Grifo, EPA Scientific Integrity Official The US EPA Scientific Integrity Committee

cc: Arthur Elkins, EPA Inspector General